October 13, 2004

MDR Tracking #: IRO Certificate #:	M2-05-0088-01 5251
Organization. The Texas Worker's Comp	rtment of Insurance as an Independent Review pensation Commission has assigned this case to for WCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute
determination was appropriate. In perform	of the proposed care to determine if the adverse ming this review, all relevant medical records and se determination, along with any documentation and wed.
reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Osteopa chronic pain management. The reviewer i health care professional has signed a certi interest exist between the reviewer and an doctors or providers who reviewed the car	y a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case wanthy board certified in anesthesiology and specialized in a son the TWCC Approved Doctor List (ADL). The fication statement stating that no known conflicts of any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the se for a determination prior to the referral to for ewer has certified that the review was performed e dispute.
REC	ORDS REVIEWED
Records available for review included dis of, correspondence by dated 02/1	puted services, correspondences, 2004 office notes 3/04 and MRI reports.
CLI	NICAL HISTORY
	n an annual tear at L5/S1. Continued low back pain and administration. Request for a second epidural injection on request.
REQ	UESTED SERVICE
Lumbar facet injections at L4/5 and L5/S	1 is requested for this patient.
	DECISION
The reviewer agrees with the prior advers	e determination.
BASIS	FOR THE DECISION
states that the request for facet injection v injection. Further, suggests that with	acet pathology. In's correspondence of 02/13/04 he was a secondary request after denial of epidural steroid the patient's history of annual tear at L5/S1 with ted are the less appropriate means of care.

has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.
Sincerely,

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk P.O. Box 17787 Austin, Texas 78744 Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 13th day of October, 2004.